

# THE PREVALENCE OF TRANSMITTED HIV-1 DRUG RESISTANCE AMONG DRUG NAÏVE PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING ANTENATAL CLINIC IN NORTHERN ZAMBIA

Bwalya Chilumba Innocent  
MMIM-I /2012

TanZamBo Annual meeting  
23-24 August 2012  
Moshi, Tanzania

# Introduction

- 34million infected globally→23million in Africa  
34% in 10 sub-Saharan Africa  
**(UNAIDS Report on global AIDS epidemic., 2010)**

- 1.8m deaths globally in 2010, 1.2m in sub-Saharan Africa
- 5million on ART from 50,000 in 2002  
2/3 of patients requiring ART in Africa

- Zambian situation as of 2009

People with HIV 890,000-1,100,000

Adults	800,000-940,000	Women	440,000-550,000
Children(0-14)	64,000-160,000	Deaths	30,000-60,000

# Background

- Older ART services ↑ HIVDR
  - North America and Western Europe  
Transmitted HIVDR 5%-24% in drug naïve  
**(Wells et al., 2007. Smith et al., 2007)**
- ↓ HIVDR prevalence in places with ↓ ART access  
ART roll-out, HIVDR mutation rates ↑
  - East Africa has increase at 29%/annum since ART roll-out
  - 14% in southern Africa
  - 3% in West and Central Africa  
**(Johnson et al., 2006. Gupta et al., 2012)**

# Transmitted HIVDR surveillance in resource limited settings

- Brain child of WHO
  - To assess population level HIVDR
  - Prevent DR HIV epidemic
- Standardised method
  - ≤47 specimens, categorised as <5%, 5-15%, >15%
  - Site and individual eligibility criteria ↓inclusion of ARV-experienced individuals  
individuals infected before ART introduction

**(Bennett et al., 2008)**

# Rationale

- ART initiated in Zambia in 2002
  - ↑drug-induced mutations ↑HIVDR
  - transmission
- 18-20% HIV prevalence among pregnant women since 1994
  - 75% receive PMTCT services
  - 20% babies born to infected mothers get infected
- Pregnant women <25yrs → transmitted HIVDR
- Baseline data → guide future safe-guards

# Objectives

- Broad objective:
  - To determine the prevalence of transmitted HIV-1 drug resistance among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in northern Zambia
- Specific objectives:
  - Determine prevalence of HIVDR mutations in treatment naïve pregnant women in northern Zambia
  - Determine prevalence of transmitted HIVDR in pregnant women in northern Zambia
  - Characterise HIV-1 subtypes circulating in northern Zambia
  - Compare HIVDR levels between urban and rural communities



# Methodology

- Will follow WHO HIVDR survey method
- Samples from the Zambia ANC sentinel surveillance
- Ethical considerations:
  - Informed consent; ANCSS uses remnant samples, seeks no consent
  - Confidentiality and anonymity;  
ANCSS uses unlinked anonymous testing  
Results will only be linked to unique ANCSS IDs
  - Clearance;  
TDRC and National Scientific and Ethics Review Committees

# Methodology

- Study design: cross-sectional
- Study area and population:
  - Northern part of Zambia
  - Pregnant women enrolled in ANCSS, Aged <25 years
  - HIV infected and attending ANC for first pregnancy
- Samples:

Archived at TDRC, from women testing +ve at first ANC visit for first pregnancy
- Sample size: 60
  - WHO recommends 50-70 → Successful amplification  $\leq 47$

**(Bennett et al., 2008)**



# Methodology

Laboratory analysis:

- Confirmation of positivity by ELISA  
(Murex)

- Viral load determination

By Roche Amplicor HIV-1 analyser  
 $\geq 1000$ copies/ml most desired

# Methodology

Laboratory analysis:

- HIVDR genotyping (ViroSeq HIV-1 genotyping assay)

Sample preparation

Reverse transcription

Polymerase chain reaction

Cycle sequencing

Automated sequence detection

Software analysis

# References

1. UNAIDS Report on global AIDS epidemic, 2010
2. Bennett DE, Bertagnolio S, Sutherland D, Gilks CF. The World Health Organisation's global strategy for prevention and assessment of HIV drug resistance. *Antivir Ther* 2008; 13 (suppl 2) = 1-13.
3. Johnson VA, Brun-Vézinet F, Clotet B, Kuritzkes DR, Pillay D, Schapiro JM, Richman DD. Update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1: Fall 2006. *Top HIV Med* 2006; 14:125-130
4. Welles SL, Bauer GR, LaRussa PS, Colgrove RC, Pitt J, et al. Time trends for HIV-1 antiretroviral resistance among antiretroviral-experienced and naive pregnant women in New York City during 1991-early 2001. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2007; 44:329-335.
5. Gupta RK, Jordan MR, Sultan JB, Hill A, Davis JDH, Gregson J, Sawyer WA, Harmers RL, Ndembu N, Pillay D, Bertagnolio S. Global trends in antiretroviral resistance in treatment-naïve individuals with HIV after rollout of antiretroviral treatment in resource-limited settings: a global collaborative study and meta-regression analysis. [www.thelancet.com](http://www.thelancet.com) Published online July 23, 2012 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61038-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61038-1)
6. Smith D, Moini N, Pesano R, Cachay E, Aiem H, Lie Y, et al. Clinical utility of HIV standard genotyping among antiretroviral naïve individuals with unknown duration of infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44:456-458.